



**U.S. Department of Justice**

*United States Attorney  
District of Maryland  
Northern Division*

*Thomas M. DiBiagio  
United States Attorney*

*Vickie E. LeDuc  
Public Information Officer*

*6625 United States Courthouse  
101 West Lombard Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21201-2692*

*410-209-4800  
TTY/TDD: 410-962-4462  
410-209-4885  
FAX 410-962-3091  
Vickie.LeDuc@usdoj.gov*

**July 17, 2003  
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

**FOR FURTHER  
INFORMATION CONTACT  
VICKIE E. LEDUC  
(410) 209-4885**

**JUDGMENT ENTERED AGAINST COUPLE  
IN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING FRAUD CASE**

**Baltimore, Maryland** - United States Attorney Thomas M. DiBiagio announced today that the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland has entered summary judgment against John Rachel, age 66, his wife, Priscilla Rachel, age 55, both of Nokesville, Virginia, and two corporations they controlled under the False Claims Act which allows the recovery of damages from those that submit fraudulent claims to the federal government.

The lawsuit centered on a 1995 contract between the Internal Revenue Service and Diez Management Systems, Inc. to repair laptop computers used by the IRS throughout the country. The IRS contract called for Diez to repair the laptop computers and bill the IRS for its actual costs, plus a fixed markup. In 1994, Diez had entered into a "teaming agreement" with RGI, Inc., a company owned and operated by John Rachel, to perform the work under this and other government contracts. RGI and Rachel, in turn, located a third company, Technical Design Resources (TDR), which actually repaired the laptop computers. At issue in the case were repairs made by TDR to IRS laptop screen hinges.

The court found that in 1995, the Rachels created a shell corporation, Computer Specialties of Maryland, Inc. (CSM), to act as a “middleman” between Diez/RGI and TDR. The court found that on average TDR would charge between \$23.20 and \$26.70 to repair an IRS laptop hinge. Rachel and CSM, would then markup each invoice to \$117.00, approximately 5 times that charged by TDR, and would provide a new invoice to RGI. RGI, in turn, would mark up the CSM invoice to \$122.84 and submit it to Diez, which in turn would bill the IRS.

Judge Nickerson found “with little difficulty” that the CSM markup of the TDR invoice was fraudulent, agreeing with the government’s contention that the Rachels formed CSM in order to artificially inflate costs that would ultimately be paid by the IRS. In doing so, the court noted that RGI and CSM were owned by the same person (John Rachel), that CSM had no employees, used RGI’s office space and ceased to exist after the end of the IRS-Diez contract. Furthermore, the court found that the Rachels profited significantly from the creation of the fraudulent CSM invoices.

The government’s suit alleges that from March of 1996 through November of 1997, the IRS paid \$428,902 under this contract as a direct result of the fraudulently inflated invoices. Under the False Claims Act, the government can recover three times its damages plus up to a \$10,000 per claim penalty. The court has yet to schedule a hearing on damages.

The summary judgment ruling means there are no material factual issues in the case and that the government is entitled to a judgment in its favor without the need for trial.

This case was investigated by the Treasury Department Inspector General for Tax Administration and was prosecuted by Assistant United States Attorney Tamera L. Fine.